ORIGINAL ARTICLES

Modelling the health risks of exposure to respirable crystalline silica from hydraulic fracturing operations in the USA shale plays

Richard Olawoyin

Environmental Health and Safety, Oakland University, Rochester Michigan, USA.

Correspondence: Richard Olawoyin, Ph.D., CEP, CESCO. Address: 1017 Human Health Building, Oakland University, Rochester Michigan 48309, USA. Email: olawoyin@oakland.edu

Received: May 22, 2015	Accepted: July 7, 2015	Online Published: July 28, 2015
DOI: 10.5430/jbei.v1n1p25	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5430/jb	pei.v1n1p25

Abstract

Respirable crystalline silica (RCS) is a known human carcinogen and a contaminant of potential concern. Proppants are used during the process of well stimulation (hydraulic fracturing) as additives in the fluid cocktail and sand is often used as a proppant which contains high percentage of silica determined by the quartz content. Empirical occupational exposure risk models were employed in this study to assess the potential health consequences from chronic RCS exposures based on RCS data from NIOSH and risk assessment formulas. Evaluating the lifetime (LT) excess cancer risk (LCR) potential, based on a risk target of 10^5 , the job titles that are likely to experience any substantial potential effect of cancer induction are the sand mover (LCR = 16.1×10^5) and transfer belt (LCR = 19.2×10^5) operators. The sand truck driver and data Van operators are among the job functions with a cumulative disease burden of 7.2% that are unlikely to be affected by < 2% carcinogenic disease burden. The chemical truck, sand mover and transfer belt (T-belt) operators may potentially be at risk of other occupational nonmalignant respiratory diseases with hazard quotient (HQ) of 0.65, 1.79, and 2.13 respectively. It is recommended that continuous occupational health monitoring of potentially exposed workers should be included as part of the project plan and the engineering risk controls that have been put in place should be ranked to highlight the effectiveness of any risk reduction/prevention methodology employed.

Key words

Silicosis, Respirable crystalline silica, Hydraulic fracturing, Energy, Disease, Cancer

1 Introduction

The earth's composition is made up of about 75% silicon and oxygen making them the most abundant elements. The chemical combination of a silicon atom and dual oxygen atoms form a compound called silica mineral (SiO₂). This is a noncombustible material with a melting point of 16,000 C (29,120 F); SiO₂ is odorless and has no representative color ^[1]. SiO₂ molecules are ordered in a continuous repeating three-dimensional pattern, which align to form a crystalline structure. Crystalline SiO₂ exist in nature with three stable polymorphs–quartz, -cristobalite and tridymite. Quartz sub-polymorph known as the alpha quartz is the most abundant of all crystalline SiO₂ polymorphs. Respirable crystalline silica (RCS) from occupational sources are classified as: Group 1 substances, by IARC, which are carcinogenic to humans^[2], representing health risk factors to exposed workers.

The human physiology reacts to fibrogenic dusts such as RCS due to its biological toxicity. Occupational definition of respirable dust (Res_{Dust}) entails particles categorized having potential thoracic effect, with sizes less than 5 µm. ACGIH, 2004 and the ISO 7708 method ^[3], further define Res_{Dust} , as inhalable substance with 50% cut-point at < 5 µm aerodynamic diameter, shown in Figure 1 ^[4, 5]. These minute materials have the capabilities to evade the respiratory tract and penetrate deeply directly into the lungs, causing disabilities and fatalities in various exposed workers worldwide. Chronic exposure to high concentrations of RCS affects the lungs, leading to the widespread accumulation of fibrous tissues which eventually result to silicosis and lung cancer ^[6, 7].

There is a wide range of applications of SiO_2 in the industrial and manufacturing processes, including glass, cement, abrasives, electronics *etc.*^[8]. Occupational exposures to RCS have been traditionally in the coal, metal mining, agriculture and highway construction industries^[9], recent technologically advancement in the petroleum industry has increased workers exposure to RCS during oil and gas extraction.



The hydraulic fracturing technique (HF_{tech}) involves stimulating reservoirs of tight formations for optimal recovery of oil or gas, creating cracks (fractures) in the rock matrix and allowing a free flow of oil or gas through the wellbore to the surface. HF_{tech} is becoming more prevalent in the petroleum extractive industry as the demand for energy increases worldwide. Modern improvements in technology for unconventional oil and gas reserves have made deep formations with very low permeability accessible through 3-D microseismics and directional drilling coupled with pressure pumping, a process called high-volume- hydraulic fracturing technique ($HVHF_{tech}$). The importance of the HF_{tech} cannot be overemphasized; it has been used to recover over 600 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) of natural gas and 7 billion barrels of crude oil since the technology was developed approximately 70 years ago ^[10, 11]. The United States has a natural gas reserve estimate of approximately 1800 Tcf which are technically recoverable and estimated to sufficiently supply energy to the United States for upwards of 116 years ^[12].

A perforating gun is typically passed down through the directional drilled hole and then detonated, after which a cocktail of fracturing fluids are pumped into the formation at very high pressure to further extend the cracks and prevent it from shutting in. The hydraulic fluids required for the stimulation process are primarily made up of water ($\approx 93\%$), proppants – mostly silica sand which is $\approx 6\%$ in total volume and emulsifiers, acids, inhibitors, cross link breakers and other components ($\approx 1\%$) (see Figure 2) ^[13]. The use of proppant is essential in the process since the open fractures are kept open for fluid transport through the formation, therefore requiring large proportion of sand with high quartz content. The quantity of proppant required to complete a fracturing job largely depends on the number of stages that the HF_{tech} is required in the well. The mechanical process involved with preparing the sand for use, generates RCS dusts that are of potential occupational health concerns to the exposed field workers ^[14]. Several other potential risks are associated with the use of the HF_{tech} ^[11, 15, 16].



Figure 2. Average HF_{tech} Fluid Composition in the United States Shale Play^[13]

There are currently approximately 35,000 wells using the HF_{tech} for well improvements each year and a total of over 1,000,000 wells hydraulically fractured since the inception of the HF_{tech} ^[12]. The number of workers employed in the petroleum industry has increased exponentially in the past seven years, at the end of 2012, over 193,000 extraction workers, 90,000 drilling workers and 102,000 field support workers were hired and the workforce statistics for the oil and gas extraction industry grew to over 202,000 as of December 2013 ^[17]. Fifty percent of these workers have support responsibilities that are susceptible to the hazard of RCS exposures.

Occupational exposures to RCS are linked with pulmonary diseases affecting humans ^[18-20]. The total number of workers exposed to RCS during the application of HF_{tech} is largely estimated. The population potentially at risk of RCS is approximately 100,000, based on the data of employed field support technicians in the petroleum industry at the end of December 2013 ^[17]. The exposure risks are higher for the sand mover and transfer belt operators. Other job titles have lesser exposures, but the chronic and continuous exposure to the operational RCS, is of potential concern.

1.1 Pathophysiology of RCS Exposures

The initial physiological reaction to the inhalation of RCS include the irritation of the respiratory tracts and lungs inflammation, acute exposures could result to silicoproteinosis [21], while other conditions, such as coughing, asphyxiation, protracted airflow restriction and consequently, chronic bronchitis, pathologic emphysema ^[18, 22], pulmonary alveolar lipoproteinosis ^[23] and fibrotic lesions ^[24], would develop due to the chronic exposures. The most commonly associated disease due to RCS exposure is called silicosis, which is typified by fibrotic lesions and or the occurrence of histologically distinct silicotic lumps in the lungs causing oxygen intake restrictions. The silicotic development mechanism is illustrated in Figure 3, the RCS dusts infiltrate into the lower respiratory tract (LRT), since the alveolar macrophages (AM) cannot digest the dust particles, they are rapidly ingested into phagasomes, which according to Ding et al. ^[25] would result to severe AM toxicity in the lungs from the release of reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Continued exposures to RCS consequently lead to increased protracted inflammatory episodes (phagocytosis), which allows the dust to penetrate the AM and killing the epithelial cells (Type 1), this excites the fibroblasts through the epithelial cell repair mechanism^[26], which facilitates the production and spread insoluble fibrous proteins (collagen) that are present in connective tissues. Cytokines are subsequently released from the interaction between the phagasomes and lysosomes. The ROS initiates the disease by inducing inflammations since they function as growth agents thereby making it possible for neutrophils to migrate to the inflammation region. The distinctive scarring patterns associated with silicotic conditions are set by the concentric deposition of collagen fibers on the lungs^[27].

1.2 Types of effects

Simple Silicosis is classified by visible opacities at the upper lungs that are rounded and stand alone. The disease progression is known to occur with proliferation of the opacities and enlargement in sizes.

Conglomerated Nodular Silicosis occurs as the progression of restrictive diseases, due to the merging of silicotic nodules to form an opaque bilateral massive fibrotic body, usually represented as angel's wings on a radiographic film of chest x-rays. This condition could also result to emphysema, dyspnea, cardiopulmonary arrest, silicotuberculosis, scleroderma and kidney disease, Caplan's syndrome.

 SiO_2 -Related Lung Cancer: Lung cancer might be induced in exposed workers either with preexisting conditions of silicosis or not. SiO_2 is known to induce cancer in humans, therefore the direct chronic exposure to RCS and the associated accumulative effect might be accountable for elevated occupational risk of lung cancer. Data from several individual epidemiological studies, present significant statistically associations between lung cancer mortality and RCS exposures ^[28, 29].

Nonmalignant Respiratory Disease (NMRD): Emphysema appears as an irregular air spaces expansion with damaging alterations to the walls of the alveolar ^[18]. Immunologic abnormalities that can potentially burden humans with silicosis and additional forms of chronic renal and autoimmune ailments, have been described in other relevant literature; which include ataxic sensory neuropathy, rheumatoid arthritis, dermatopolymyositis, monoclonal gammopathy, glomerulone-phritis ^[30, 31].

The silica particles can also result to extrapulmonary silicosis ^[32], when migrated from the lungs in experimental animals to different other organs such as; the kidney, liver, spleen *etc*. ^[33]. In humans however, peritoneal silicosis was diagnosed as described in the study by Tschopp *et al*. ^[34].

Fibrotic lesions (scarring) in the lungs are diagnosed as visible opacities on computerized tomography or from chest x-ray films. The International Labor Organization standardized the classification of these opacities based on the characteristic density, shape and size that correlate with the effect on the lungs ^[35].

In this study, the potential occupational health effects from chronic RCS exposures on field workers using the HF_{tech} were assessed and a prediction of the cancer risk and the hazard quotient were made based on population assumptions.



Figure 3. Theoretical Inflammation-based mechanism for carcinogenic RCS on Humans

2 Methodology

2.1 Exposed populations and exposure levels

The job titles and exposure levels at risk of potential adverse health complications as a result of RCS were identified in a NIOSH study ^[14]. The study evaluated the occupational exposures to RCS during the use of HF_{tech} . Eleven sites with HF_{tech} operations were investigated and one hundred and eleven (111) samples were obtained from the personal breathing zone (PBZ) of the field exposed workers during a complete 12 hour shift. Sample collection techniques and analyses were presented in details in the published literature ^[14].

Each well site had about 12 dedicated workers in place for the implementation of the HF_{tech} . The proppant delivery, distribution and mixing were usually handled by 4-6 operators. The sand mover is a conveyor system that receives the discharged sand from the truck and subsequently connected to the blender. Both the sand mover and the blender are controlled by operators that maintain constant communication to determine the characteristic nature of the sand before it is mixed with other fluids and pumped into the wellbore. In this study, for the purpose of assessing the potential health effects of RCS exposures on support field workers, an estimation of the average number of workers directly exposed to equal RCS exposure throughout the shift for each well was chosen based on the exposure assessment from the NIOSH study ^[14].

The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (OSHA 29 CFR 1910.1000) recommended permissible exposure limit (PEL) for all samples with detectable quartz fraction were calculated based on the medium silica percentage of 53% in the PBZ samples collected, the PEL in this study was determined as 0.18 mg/m³ using the OSHA PEL equation Table 1 ^[36]. For extended work times more than the standard 8-hr shift, there are various numerical models that are applicable for adjusting the exposure standards, which include the Brief and Scala Model ^[37], OSHA Model ^[38] and Pharmacokinetic Model ^[39]. The Brief and Scala daily correction formula (equation 1) for exposure TWA was used to adjust the values obtained by Esswein *et al.* ^[14] since the formula compensates for both the exposure and recovery times and the 8-hr adjusted was not included in the study;

$$\varphi = \frac{8 \times (24 - h) \times Exposure Standard (8 - hr TWA)}{16 \times h}$$
(1)

Where φ = TWA corrected exposure standard; h = total number of hours on the job per day assume 40-hr work week. Table 1 shows the adjusted exposure standard median values and the severity values modified from Esswein*et al.*^[14] and the equivalent severity values are illustrated in Figure 4.



Figure 4. PBZ Severity based on OSHA calculated PEL data ^[14]

	Occupational Exposure Guidelines																	
Componen	nent Units OSHA PEL									ACGHI TLV						NIOSH REL		
		8-HR TV	WA						10-H	10-HR TWA								
RCS		mg/m ³ $\left\{\frac{10 \text{ mg/m}^3}{\% \text{ SiO}_2 + 2}\right\}$					0.	025		0.05								
The adjuste	The adjusted OSHA PEL exposure and severity value (Adapted from Esswein et al. [14])																	
	Job Titles																	
	А	В	С	D E F G H					Ι	J	Κ	L	М	N	0	Totals		
#Sample	16	3	2	5	3	1	1	1	4	10	1	50	6	7	1	111		
Median TWA	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.38	0.45	0.06	0.01	0.11		
GM	0.09	0.12	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.26	0.33	0.05	0.01	0.12		
* mg/m ³	7.34	10.01	3.10	3.17	4.97	3.10	1.51	0.94	1.44	4.39	2.95	27.43	32.62	4.03	0.50	7.85		
**Severity	0.41	0.56	0.17	0.18	0.28	0.17	0.08	0.05	0.08	0.24	0.16	1.52	1.81	0.22	0.03	0.44		

Table 1. Calculated and guidance RCS exposure values

Note. GM = Geometric Mean, * 8-hr TWA adjusted, ** Severity = Median TWA/ OSHA PEL-TWA; if severity > 1, > OSHA PEL. A=Blender Operator, B=Chemical Truck Operator, C=Fueler, D=Hydration Unit Operator, E=Mechanic, F=Operator, Data Van, G=Pump Truck Operator, H=QC Tech, I=Roving Operator, J=Sand Coordinator, K=Sand Truck Driver, L=Sand Mover Operator, M=T-belt Operator, O=Water Tank Operator

The highest median occupational exposures of RCS after the adjustment to the standard 8-hr TWA, were the (L) Sand Mover and (M) T-belt Operators, with median exposures of 27.43 mg/m³ and 32.62 mg/m³ respectively, which is consistent with the NIOSH study ^[14].

2.2 Theoretical occupational carcinogenic risk and hazard quotient

The inhalation reference exposure (I_r) value of 0.003 mg/m^{3 [3,4]} was used in this study to predict the total LT carcinogenic risk (L_{CR}) in the hydraulic fracturing industry, provided the worker continuously inhaled the RCS dust at the calculated dust concentration (C_j) during the exposure period. The product summation of the total occupational exposure to the calculated median 8-hr TWA for each job title (C_j) throughout the employment period for the job (T_j) gives the cumulative LT dust concentration intake (C_{Dc}) as given in equation 2:

$$C_{Dc} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (C_j * T_j) \right\} = mg/m^3 - yrs$$
(2)

Where T_i was calculated using equation 3:

$$T_j = \left\{ \frac{(\text{EF } * \text{ED})}{(LT)} \right\} = \text{yrs}$$
(3)

The exposure frequency (EF) and worker's lifetime (LT) were assumed to be 45 years and 70 years respectively. While the exposure duration (ED) was calculated as: $\left\{ \left(\frac{8}{24} hours \right) \times \left(\frac{5}{7} days \right) \times \left(\frac{48}{52} weeks \right) \right\}$.

Therefore; the potential excess lifetime carcinogenic risk (L_{CR}) using the HF_{tech} was determined using equation 4:

$$L_{CR} = C_{Dc} * I_r, \ L_{CR} > 10^{-4} = \text{Risk}$$
 (4)

Exposure effects with the potentials of non-carcinogenic health complications can be estimated using the hazard quotient equation (eq. 5).

$$H_Q = \frac{C_{DC}}{I_r}, \quad H_Q > 1 = "Risk"$$
(5)

	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	N	0	Totals
# Samples	16	3	2	5	3	1	1	1	4	10	1	50	6	7	1	111
Median TWA	0.10	0.14	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.04	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.38	0.45	0.06	0.01	0.11
C_{Dc}	1.44E-02	1.96E-02	6.08E-03	6.22E-03	9.75E-03	6.08E-03	2.97E-03	1.84E-03	2.83E-03	8.62E-03	5.79E-03	5.38E-02	6.40E-02	7.91E-03	9.89E-04	2.11E-01
L_{CR}	4.32E-05	5.89E-05	1.82E-05	1.86E-05	2.92E-05	1.82E-05	8.90E-06	5.51E-06	8.48E-06	2.59E-05	1.74E-05	1.61E-04	1.92E-04	2.37E-05	2.97E-06	6.33E-04
HQ=1	0.48	0.65	0.20	0.21	0.32	0.20	0.10	0.06	0.09	0.29	0.19	1.79	2.13	0.26	0.03	7.03

Table 2. Potential carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic occupational risk due to RCS dust

The potential occupational carcinogenic and non-carcinogenic risks from continuous exposure to RCS through the use of the HF_{tech} are presented in Table 2. These risk outcomes did not take into account the synergistic effects from other potentially deleterious substances the workers may have been exposed to at the same period as the RCS exposure. The results presented herein also assumed that the workers continued on the same job with constant exposure levels until the end of the follow up year.

3 Results and discussion

Occurrence of elevated RCS dust exposure during hydraulic fracturing processes was previously determined ^[14]. Within the field workers, the T-Belt and Sand Mover Operators have the relative highest exposures to RCS based on the adjusted 8-hr TWA calculated following the OSHA PEL guidelines. The prevalence of high RCS exposure exceeding 0.1 mg/m^3 increases the possibility of workers developing lung cancers or other forms of tumors and also elevates the chances of increased cases of silicotic related diseases. Evaluating the lifetime excess cancer potential, based on a risk target of 10^5 , the lowest possibility of cancer development was determined to be for the water tank operator with a rare classification of about 3 occurrences per 1,000,000 workers, as shown in Table 3. The job titles that are likely to experience any substantial effect of cancer induction are operators handling the; blender (A), chemical truck (B), Sand mover (L) and T-belt (M) operations. The sand truck driver (K), fueler (C), Data Van (F) and the hydration unit (D) operators with a cumulative disease burden of 7.2%, are among the job functions that are unlikely to be affected by < 2% carcinogenic disease burden per the risk target of 10-4 as determined. In general, the possibility of 2.6% of the LCR prevalence among the following; water tank operator (O), QC tech (H), roving operator (I) and the pump truck operator, fall under the rare category. This gives an indication the magnitude of safety related to each job function, based on the possibility of toxic dust contacts.

	< 1%	6 (1.0 :	× 10 ⁻⁵)	$< 2\% (1.0 \times 10^{-5})$				$< 4\% (1.0 \times 10^{-5})$			>4% (1.0 × 10 ⁻⁵)				
Job Title	0	Н	Ι	G	Κ	С	F	D	Ν	J	Е	А	В	L	М
LCR	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.9	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.9	2.4	2.6	2.9	4.3	5.9	16.1	19.2
Likelihood of	Rare	Possib	le	Unlikely Possible				Possible			Likely				
Occurrence		$\sum $	= 2.6%	$\sum = 7.2\%$				$\sum = 7.9\%$			$\sum = 45.6\%$				

Table 3. Likelihood of Occurrence of LCR based on Occupational RCS using the HF_{tech}

Note. A=Blender Operator, B=Chemical Truck Operator, C=Fueler, D=Hydration Unit Operator, E=Mechanic, F=Operator, Data Van, G=Pump Truck Operator, H=QC Tech, I=Roving Operator, J=Sand Coordinator, K=Sand Truck Driver, L=Sand Mover Operator, M=T-belt Operator, O=Water Tank Operator.

The workers dedicated to job functions L and M were found to have the highest susceptibility of developing cancer after retirement due to the chronic RCS exposures. The model predicts about 2 cancer cases per 10,000 workers for both job functions (Sand-mover and Transfer-belt operators) on average. Based on the level of exposure of other field workers to RCS, the potential risk of cancer development after a follow-up period of 45 years is minimal compared to L and M jobs. *Published by Sciedu Press* 31

The water tank operator job function will have the least effect of carcinogenicity (3.0×10^6) arising from hydraulic fracturing RCS. The cumulative dust exposure may result to other health complications other than lungs cancer. The potential effects of RCS leading to non-carcinogenic human health problems were assessed using the hazard quotient (HQ); which shows the same trend as the lifetime cancer risk, based on the overall RCS exposure. HQ > 1 poses significant health risk to humans, at the current level of RCS exposure, only the sand mover operator and transfer belt (T-belt) operator may potentially be at risk of other occupational nonmalignant respiratory diseases with HQ of 1.79, and 2.13 respectively.

Occupational exposures to carcinogenic materials are undesirable; the model in this study presents quantitative risk to workers directly in contact with RCS contained in the fracturing sand based, on the median permissible exposure limits (PEL) calculated in compliance with OSHA regulation. In similar studies, acute silicosis symptoms have been found to develop due to short time exposure to high RCS, however, other epidemiological studies have shown that the development of chronic symptoms have occurred even long after occupational RCS exposures ended ^[40]. There is approximately 1% chance for workers with an exposure duration of 45 years to develop other non-carcinogenic diseases from occupational exposure to RCS, and 50% of these cases may develop complications due to Mycobacterium tuberculosis, consequently leading to tuberculosis disease ^[41]. Increased mortality rates have been reported from other forms of non-carcinogenic consequences of RCS exposure, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and pneumoconiosis ^[42]. Statistical significant differences have been presented in recent epidemiological data obtained from silica-exposed workers, which showed increased cases of mortality from immunologic and autoimmune ailments ^[41] and systematic impairment of the local immune function in the human lungs with amplified nuclear factor-κB activation ^[43].

Oil and gas operators must however, be concerned about every level of exposure and the associated potential effects on the health of the workers. Companies hiring workers to carry out job task such as the sand-mover and T-belt operators during the hydraulic fracturing process, should pay close attention to protecting all workers with the possibility of exposure to RCS. They must also ensure that every engineering control that can possibly and thoroughly safeguard workers health quality from harmful exposures, are properly designed and implemented.

4 Conclusion

In this study, the health effect descriptive epidemiologic analysis was used to appraise the potential health effects due to occupational exposures to RCS during the use of the HFtech. The sand mover operator and the transfer belt operator both have LCR values of 1.6×10^4 and 1.9×10^4 respectively; and HQ of 1.79 and 2.3 respectively. These values are higher than the LCR target risk level of 10^5 and HQ = $1^{[44]}$, therefore, these two job functions are considered to have the likelihood of disease burden occurrence. The projected risks were based on some occupational assumptions and also considering the PEL levels for each job function, although silica exposure is dose-dependent. It is quintessential to underscore the benefits obtainable from preventing workers from any potential continuous RCS dust exposures. This is substantial in reducing the potentiality of either a lifetime excess risk or other nonmalignant health conditions, such as the negative modification of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH) induced Cytochrome P-4501A1 (CYP1A1) actions in the lungs, causing pulmonary inflammations^[45].

Providing more effective engineering controls, (which should begin from the design stage) for field operations during hydraulic fracturing, such as the use of alternatives measures to the pneumatic sand transfer activities, between the sand movers to the conveyor, will result to occupational exposure reduction and consequently, risk reduction/elimination. The application of substitution, elimination and administrative control methodology will bring about optimal reduction in occupational risk to workers that can be potentially exposed to the carcinogenic silica material. An example is the use of an alternative proppant material such as the resin coated sand and also reducing the amount of time a particular worker performs the task of either as a transfer belt or sand mover operator. It is suggested that effective health monitoring of

workers who may be potentially exposed to RCS and other toxic substances should be prioritized together with the implementation of operational engineering risk controls, enhanced trainings and emphasis on the proper use of respirators.

References

- [1] American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH). TLVs and BEIs: Threshold limit values for chemical substances and physical agents and biological exposure indices, 2001. Cincinnati, OH: ACGIH.
- [2] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans, 2009. IARC. Lyon, France: Vol. 100C: Metals, Particles and Fibres.
- [3] International Organization for Standardization (ISO). Air quality Particle size fractionation definitions for health-related sampling. 1995. ISO, Geneva. ISO No. 7708:1995.
- [4] American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists. TLVs and BEIs. Threshold Limit Values for chemical substances and physical agents and Biological Exposure Indices. Cincinnati; 2004. p. 73-6.
- [5] Safe Work Australia (SWA). Guidance on the interpretation of workplace exposure standards for airborne contaminants [Internet].
 2012. Available from: www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au (cited 06 February 2014)
- [6] Vida S, Pintos J, Parent ME, et al. Occupational exposure to silica and lung cancer: pooled analysis of two case-control studies in Montreal, Canada. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2010; 19(6): 1602-11. PMid:20501770. http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-10-0015
- [7] Chen W, Liu, Y, Wang H, *et al.* Long-term exposure to silica dust and risk of total and cause-specific mortality in Chinese workers: a cohort study. 2012. (PLoS Med.); 9: 4. PMid:22529751. http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001206
- [8] Rappaport SM, Goldberg M, Susi P, et al. Excessive exposure to silica in the U.S. construction industry. Ann Occup Hyg. 2003; 47(2): 111-22. PMid:12581996. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annhyg/meg025
- [9] Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA). Silica, Crystalline. Washington, DC. Safety and Health 2014; Topics. Available from: https://www.osha.gov/dsg/topics/silicacrystalline/index.html (cited 13 February 2014).
- [10] U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA). Annual Energy Outlook [Internet]. 2013. Available from: http://www.eia.gov/forecasts/aeo/IF_all.cfm (cited 13 February 2014).
- [11] Olawoyin R, Wang JY, Oyewole SA. Environmental Safety Assessment of Drilling Operations in the Marcellus-Shale Gas Development. SPE Drill & Compl. 2013; 28 (2): 212-20. SPE-163095-PA.
- [12] U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). Modern Shale Gas Development in the United States: A Primer Work Prepared for Office of Fossil Energy and National Energy Technology Laboratory. Performed Under DE-FG26-04NT15455, U.S. Department of Energy, Ground Water Protection Council and ALL Consulting. 2009.
- [13] Halliburton. Pennsylvania Water Frac Formulation [Internet]. 2015. Available from: http://www.halliburton.com/public/projects/pubsdata/Hydraulic_Fracturing/disclosures/Pennsylvania_WaterFrac_Formulation.ht ml#Constituents (cited 21 January 2015).
- [14] Esswein EJ, Breitenstein M, Snawder J, *et al.* Occupational Exposures to Respirable Crystalline Silica During Hydraulic Fracturing. Journal of Occupational and Environmental Hygiene. 2013; 10(7): 347-56. PMid:23679563. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15459624.2013.788352
- [15] Stanislav P, Cleveland CJ. Oil spill. In: Encyclopedia of Earth. Edited by Washington, D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, 2008. Eds. Cutler J. Cleveland. National Council for Science and the Environment. PMid:18817797.
- [16] Olawoyin R, Madu C, Enab K. Optimal Well Design for Enhanced Stimulation Fluids Recovery and Flowback Treatment in the Marcellus Shale Gas Development Using Integrated Technologies. Hydrol Current Res. 2012; 3: 141. http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2157-7587.1000141
- [17] US Energy Information Administration (EIA). Oil and Gas Industry Employment [Internet]. 2013. Available from: http://www.eia.gov/todayinenergy/detail.cfm?id=12451 (cited 4 February 2014).
- [18] American Thoracic Society (ATS). Standards for the diagnosis and care of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and asthma. Adopted by the ATS Board of Directors. American Thoracic Society. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1987; 136(1): 225-44.
- [19] International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Silica, some silicates, coal dust and para-aramid fibrils. IARC Monographs: 1997, Vol. 68 of: IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks of Humans. Lyon.
- [20] Rosner D, Markowitz G. Deadly Dust: Silicosis and the On-Going Struggle to Protect Workers health. 2nd ed. Ann Arbor (MI): The University of Michigan Press; 2006.

- [21] Davis GS. Pathogenesis of silicosis: current concepts and hypothesis. Lung. 1986; 164: 139-54. PMid:3088337. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02713638
- [22] Hnizdo E, Vallyathan V. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease due to occupational exposure to silica dust: a review of epidemiological and pathological evidence. Occup Environ Med. 2003; 60(4): 237-43. PMid:12660371. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.60.4.237
- [23] Vallyathan V, Castranova V, Pack D, et al. Freshly fractured quartz inhalation leads to enhanced lung injury and inflammation. Potential role of free radicals. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1995; 152(3): 1003-7. PMid:7663775. http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.152.3.7663775
- [24] Craighead JE, Vallyathan NV. Cryptic pulmonary lesions in workers occupationally exposed to dust containing silica. JAMA. 1980; 244(17): 1939-41.
- [25] Ding M, Chen F, Shi X, et al. Diseases caused by silica: mechanisms of injury and disease development. Int Immunopharmacol. 2002; 2(2-3): 173-82. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1567-5769(01)00170-9
- [26] Churg A. The uptake of mineral particles by pulmonary epithelial cells. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1996; 154(4 Pt 1): 1124-40.
- [27] Rosner D, Markowitz G. Deadly Dust: Silicosis and the On-Going Struggle to Protect Workers health. 2nd ed. Ann Arbor (MI): The University of Michigan Press; 2006.
- [28] Attfield MD, Costello J. Quantitative exposure-response for silica dust and lung cancer in Vermont granite workers. Am J Ind Med. 2004; 45: 129-38. PMid:14748044. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10348
- [29] Hubbs A, Greskevitch M, Kuempel E, et al. Abrasive blasting agents: designing studies to evaluate relative risk. J Toxicol Environ Health A. 2005; 68(11-12): 999-1016. PMid:16020188. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15287390590912612
- [30] Koeger AC, Marre JP, Rozenberg S, *et al.* Autoimmune diseases after long-term occupational exposure to unusual sources of silica or sil- icone. Ann Med Interne. 1992; 143(3): 165-70.
- [31] Koeger AC, Alcaix D, Rozenberg S, *et al.* Graves' disease after silica dust exposure. Letter to the editor. J. Rheumatol. 1996; 68(11): 202.
- [32] Roperto F, Troncone A, Tranquillo A, et al. Extra pulmonary silicosis in two water buffaloes. J Comp Pathol. 1995; 112: 97-103. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9975(05)80092-1
- [33] Osorio AM, Thun MJ, Novak RF, et al. Silica and glomerulonephritis: Case report and review of the literature. Am J Kidney. 1987; 9(3): 224-230. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6386(87)80059-8
- [34] Tschopp JM, Rossini MJ, Richon CA, et al. Retroperitoneal silicosis mimicking pancreatic carcinoma in an Alpine miner with chronic lung silicosis. Thorax. 1992; 47: 480-1. PMid:1496511. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/thx.47.6.480
- [35] International Labor Organization (ILO). Guidelines for the use of the ILO international classification of radiographs of pneumoconioses. 2002. Revised edition 2000. Geneva, Switzerland. OSHA-2010-0034-1064.
- [36] U.S. Department of Labor. Occupational Safety & Health Administration (OSHA). Crystalline Silica Mixture Calculation [Internet]. 2014. Available from: https://www.osha.gov/dsg/etools/silica/compare_to_limit/pel/pel.html (accessed Janaury 4, 2014).
- [37] Brief R, Scala R. Occpational Exposure Limits for Novel Work Schedules. American Industrial Hygiene Association Journal. 1975; 46: 467-469. PMid:1229889. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0002889758507272
- [38] Paustenbach D. Occupational Exposure Limits, Pharmacokinetics and Unusual Work Shifts. Patty's Industrial Hygiene and Toxicology (Vol III, Ed Harris, R. L., New York, John Wiley & Sons); 2000. p. 11-277.
- [39] Hickey J, Reist P. Application of Occupational Exposure Limits to Unusual Work Schedules. Am. Ind. Hyg. Assoc. J. 1977; 38: 613-21.
- [40] Miller BG, Hagen S, Love RG, *et al.* Risks of silicosis in coalworkers exposed to unusual concentrations of respirable quartz. Occup Environ Med. 1998; 55: 52-8.
- [41] National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH): NIOSH Hazard Review: Health Effects of Occupational Exposure to Respirable Silica. 2002. DHHS (NIOSH) Pub. No. 2002-129. Cincinnati, Ohio: NIOSH.
- [42] Cherry NM, Burgess GL, Turner S, et al. Crystalline silica and risk of lung cancer in the potteries. Occup Environ Med. 1998; 55: 779-85.
- [43] Kang JL, Go YH, Hur KC, *et al.* Silica-induced nuclear factor-κB activation: Involvement of reactive oxygen species and protein tyrosine kinase activation. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A. 2000; 60: 27-46.
- [44] U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). Ambient Levels and Noncancer Health Effects of Inhaled Crystalline and Amorphous Silica. Health Issue Assessment. EPA/600/R- 95/115. 1996. Washington, DC: Office of Research and Development.
- [45] Battelli LA, Ghanem MM, Kashon ML, et al. Hubbs AF Crystalline Silica is a Negative Modifier of Pulmonary Cytochrome P-4501A1 Induction. J. Toxicol. Environ. Health, Part A. 2008; 71(8): 521-32.