# The Transformative Role of AI in Financial Reporting: Opportunities, Risks, and Regulatory Implications

Binbin Cui[1]

[1] Carleton University, Canada

Correspondence: Binbin Cui, Carleton University, Canada.

Received: April 16, 2025 Accepted: May 18, 2025 Online Published: May 20, 2025

doi:10.5430/afr.v14n2p87 URL: https://doi.org/10.5430/afr.v14n2p87

**Abstract**

The integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) into financial reporting is revolutionizing the way financial information is processed and presented. This paper critically reviews AI's transformative role in financial reporting, exploring its potential to enhance efficiency, accuracy, and real-time insights while identifying challenges such as ethical concerns, biases, regulatory misalignment, and over-reliance on automated systems. By examining recent advancements, case studies, and policy implications, the paper highlights the need for a balanced approach to harness AI's benefits while addressing its risks, paving the way for a more robust and future-proof financial reporting landscape.

**Keywords:** AI integration, financial reporting, real-time insights, regulatory challenges

## 1. Introduction

Financial reporting serves as the foundation for effective decision-making among investors, regulators, and corporate stakeholders. Traditionally reliant on standardized accounting procedures and manual labor, the field is now undergoing a profound transformation driven by artificial intelligence (AI). Technologies such as machine learning (ML), natural language processing (NLP), robotic process automation (RPA), and more recently, generative AI models are being integrated into accounting and financial workflows. These systems offer unparalleled speed, accuracy, and scalability in processing financial data and generating insights.

The integration of AI into financial reporting promises substantial benefits, including enhanced efficiency, improved accuracy, and real-time data analytics. However, it also introduces new challenges related to transparency, ethical bias, regulatory compliance, and algorithmic dependence. As such, the rise of AI calls for a critical examination of both its potential and its pitfalls.

This paper aims to contribute to the growing academic discussion by synthesizing existing literature on AI-driven financial reporting, illustrating real-world applications, and analyzing emerging risks. It seeks to answer the following research questions:

(1) What are the primary opportunities and applications of AI in financial reporting?

(2) What risks and ethical challenges accompany the adoption of AI technologies in this domain?

(3) How does existing literature evaluate the effectiveness and implications of AI for financial reporting stakeholders?

To address these questions, the paper first reviews the academic literature on AI applications in financial reporting. It then explores thematic areas—opportunities, use cases, and challenges—supported by both empirical findings and case-based examples. The analysis concludes with a discussion on gaps in the literature and directions for future research.

## 2. Literature Review

### *2.1 The Evolution of AI in Accounting and Financial Reporting*

The adoption of AI in accounting has progressed significantly in the past decade, evolving from basic automation to intelligent decision-support systems. Early studies by Vasarhelyi et al. (2015) and Warren et al. (2015) introduced the concept of continuous auditing and real-time financial monitoring through data analytics. Kokina and Davenport (2017) categorized AI tools in accounting into three groups: task automation (e.g., RPA), intelligence augmentation (e.g., ML), and autonomous intelligence (e.g., decision-making agents), setting a foundation for current developments.

More recently, the integration of NLP and generative AI tools—such as OpenAI's GPT models—has opened new possibilities in financial narrative generation, sentiment analysis in disclosures, and dynamic risk assessment (Bonsall et al., 2021; Vasarhelyi & Halper, 2020). These technologies are enabling systems not just to process data, but to "understand" and contextualize it in ways previously reserved for human experts.

*2.2 Opportunities Highlighted in Literature*

Academic consensus suggests that AI offers transformative improvements in the speed and reliability of financial reporting. For instance, Brynjolfsson and McAfee (2017) argue that automation and predictive analytics significantly reduce costs and increase reporting accuracy. Appelbaum et al. (2017) further emphasize that AI enables anomaly detection at scale, making internal controls and audit procedures more robust.

Moreover, AI systems are now being used for continuous monitoring of financial performance. Vasarhelyi and Halper (2020) note that such real-time applications allow for dynamic financial reporting, which can provide decision-makers with more timely insights than traditional quarterly reports. The literature also explores how AI supports strategic decision-making through scenario-based modeling and forecasting (Davenport & Ronanki, 2018).

*2.3 Challenges and Risks Identified in Previous Research*

Despite the clear benefits, scholars have raised concerns about over-reliance on AI and the interpretability of complex algorithms. Burrell (2016) and Eubanks (2018) warn that many AI models function as "black boxes," making it difficult for auditors and regulators to trace how financial decisions are made. This opacity poses significant challenges for accountability and compliance, particularly under frameworks like IFRS and GAAP.

Ethical concerns have also been widely discussed. Martin (2019) and O'Neil (2016) highlight how AI systems trained on biased datasets may perpetuate discrimination in financial decision-making, such as loan approvals or risk assessments. Regulatory uncertainty further complicates implementation, as existing standards may not be equipped to evaluate algorithmic decisions (Susskind & Susskind, 2015).

Lastly, several studies call for caution regarding the balance between human oversight and automation. Alles (2015) and Warren et al. (2015) argue that while AI can enhance the efficiency of audit and reporting functions, it must be deployed alongside human expertise to ensure contextual understanding and ethical judgment.

## 3. Real-World Applications of AI in Financial Reporting

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has begun to reshape financial reporting practices through the use of machine learning, automation, and natural language processing. Unlike broader financial management areas such as budgeting, supply chain analysis, or risk management, financial reporting is a structured, compliance-driven domain focused on preparing and presenting financial statements including the balance sheet, income statement, cash flow statement, and related disclosures. This section focuses on real-world applications of specific AI techniques—such as Support Vector Machines (SVM), K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), and Natural Language Processing (NLP)—that are being used to automate, enhance, and validate financial reporting processes. The examples provided are specific to core reporting tasks, such as journal entry classification, reconciliation, narrative generation, and compliance auditing, which distinguishes them from broader financial management functions.

*3.1 Automating Journal Entry Classification through Supervised Learning*

The preparation of journal entries—once a wholly manual task—is now benefiting from supervised machine learning techniques. Models like Support Vector Machines (SVM) and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) are trained using historical data to classify transactions into appropriate general ledger accounts. For example, when a company processes thousands of expense reports, these algorithms learn from prior coding practices and automatically assign account codes, dramatically reducing the need for manual review.

In practice, multinational corporations such as Siemens and Bosch have implemented machine learning models into their enterprise accounting systems to auto-post routine journal entries. These systems can handle both structured data (e.g., amounts, dates, vendor names) and unstructured text (e.g., descriptions in invoices) to infer correct accounting treatments. This automation not only increases efficiency but also ensures consistency and reduces the likelihood of human error.

*3.2 Accelerating Reconciliation and Error Detection via Anomaly Detection*

Account reconciliation, a core element of financial close and reporting, often involves matching internal records with external confirmations, such as bank statements or intercompany accounts. Traditional reconciliation methods are spreadsheet-based and manual, making them labor-intensive and error-prone. AI introduces advanced anomaly detection techniques to flag inconsistencies and identify potential errors in real time.

Using historical patterns of account balances and transactional behaviors, anomaly detection algorithms can detect duplicate entries, incorrect period allocations, or outlier amounts. Platforms like Oracle Cloud Financials and SAP S/4HANA Finance now offer AI-enhanced modules that perform continuous reconciliation checks, allowing discrepancies to be resolved before they affect the final statements. These tools support audit readiness by maintaining full transaction trails and improving overall data accuracy.

*3.3 Generating Financial Narratives Using Natural Language Processing (NLP)*

Narrative disclosures form a significant part of financial reports, including the Management Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), financial statement notes, and audit summaries. Natural Language Processing (NLP) tools are increasingly used to generate draft narratives based on structured financial data. This automation reduces the burden on finance teams while ensuring consistency in terminology, formatting, and tone.

Companies such as Microsoft and Google have adopted internal NLP systems that draft 10-K filing sections and investor reports by extracting key figures and contextualizing them into readable summaries. These tools also detect and correct inconsistencies, compare disclosures over multiple periods, and flag areas where explanations may be lacking. For compliance-focused environments, NLP ensures that language conforms to regulatory expectations, while also improving readability for stakeholders.

*3.4 Improving Audit Coverage with AI-Powered Risk Scoring*

Internal and external audits rely on the ability to identify high-risk transactions that may indicate errors or fraud. Traditionally, this has been achieved through sampling methods that review a fraction of journal entries. AI enhances this process by conducting full-population reviews and applying risk scoring algorithms to each transaction.

Audit platforms like EY Canvas and Deloitte's Argus employ machine learning models that consider time of entry, user behavior, transaction amount, and account relationships to assess risk levels. These tools use explainable AI frameworks such as SHAP (SHapley Additive Explanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) to ensure transparency in how risk scores are derived. As a result, auditors can prioritize their work on genuinely suspicious entries, improving audit efficiency and quality while ensuring compliance with standards like SOX and IFRS.

*3.5 Moving from Manual to Intelligent Reporting Workflows*

The shift from manual reporting processes to AI-enabled workflows marks a significant transformation in the finance function. In traditional systems, report preparation involves data extraction from multiple sources, manual aggregation, reconciliation, and narrative writing. AI enables these tasks to be conducted in real time, with greater accuracy and less human intervention.

For example, AI-driven systems can continuously update the balance sheet by reconciling sub-ledger data as transactions occur, automatically generate financial statement drafts at period-end, and pre-validate compliance with accounting standards. This shift allows finance professionals to focus more on value-added analysis, strategic forecasting, and scenario planning rather than on repetitive data processing. Furthermore, AI's predictive capabilities can help identify trends or risks before they materialize in formal reports, enhancing forward-looking financial management while maintaining reporting integrity.

*3.6 Addressing Integration and Data Quality Challenges*

Despite the benefits of AI in financial reporting, implementation remains complex. Financial data must be reliable, consistent, and well-structured to support effective AI training and deployment. Many organizations struggle with fragmented legacy systems and non-standardized data sources, which can hinder AI adoption.

To overcome these barriers, companies are investing in centralized data platforms such as cloud-based ERPs and data lakes that support real-time processing and AI model integration. Data governance frameworks and cross-functional collaboration between finance, IT, and compliance departments are also essential to ensuring that AI systems deliver trustworthy, auditable outputs that meet regulatory expectations.

## 4. Implementation Challenges and Real-World Risks of AI in Financial Reporting

While AI holds significant promise in transforming financial reporting, its implementation presents various challenges that can jeopardize accuracy, compliance, and trust. This section discusses some of the most pressing risks and provides insights into how organizations have attempted to navigate them through real-world applications.

### *4.1 Ethical and Bias-Related Risks*

One of the most pressing concerns in AI adoption is algorithmic bias. AI models trained on historical financial data may inadvertently learn and replicate pre-existing biases, resulting in discriminatory outcomes. A notable example occurred in 2019 when Goldman Sachs faced scrutiny over its Apple Card credit limit algorithm. Reports emerged that women were consistently offered lower credit limits than men with similar financial profiles. Although the company denied any intentional discrimination, the controversy highlighted the opaque nature of AI decision-making and its potential for ethical harm.

To address such risks, organizations must adopt explainable AI (XAI) techniques and implement ongoing audits to ensure fairness and accountability. Interdisciplinary teams that include ethicists, data scientists, and finance professionals should be involved in both model development and post-deployment evaluation to promote responsible use.

### *4.2 Regulatory and Compliance Gaps*

AI systems frequently lack the transparency required to satisfy regulatory demands under financial standards such as Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) or International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). The 2021 Wirecard AG scandal, although not directly caused by AI, serves as a cautionary tale. The collapse of this German payments company was partly due to inadequate oversight and opaque digital reporting practices. It underscored how rapidly advancing technology, if not adequately governed, can lead to significant regulatory failures.

Firms exploring AI in financial reporting must ensure that systems comply with auditability and disclosure norms. Incorporating model governance frameworks, comprehensive documentation, and auditable decision trails are essential steps toward ensuring regulatory alignment.

### *4.3 Over-Reliance and Automation Failures*

Another critical risk is the over-reliance on AI systems without appropriate human oversight. In 2020, Citigroup accidentally wired $900 million to lenders of Revlon due to an interface issue in its automated financial platform. Although this error did not involve a machine learning algorithm, it exemplifies the dangers of excessive automation without clear human checkpoints.

AI tools, particularly those used for reporting and forecasting, must be accompanied by robust human-in-the-loop (HITL) processes. Analysts and financial professionals should be trained to critically evaluate AI-generated outputs and intervene when anomalies or ambiguities arise. Routine validation under varied scenarios ensures that models perform reliably in both normal and unexpected conditions.

### *4.4 Data Privacy and Security Breaches*

The extensive data requirements of AI increase the risk of privacy breaches and unauthorized access. In 2022, Morgan Stanley suffered a data security lapse when old servers containing sensitive financial information were not properly erased before disposal. Though not an AI-specific incident, this breach illustrates the broader data governance risks associated with advanced digital systems.

AI implementations must adhere to stringent data protection standards, including encryption, access control, and data anonymization protocols. Moreover, compliance with privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) is essential when AI systems handle personally identifiable financial data.

### *4.5 Algorithmic Inaccuracies and Business Impact*

AI models can sometimes deliver inaccurate predictions, leading to costly business decisions. In 2018, Target Corporation employed an AI-driven promotion optimization model that failed to account for localized events and customer behaviors. This led to overstocking in certain regions and revenue losses due to ineffective inventory allocation.

Such cases reveal the limitations of relying solely on algorithmic outputs without context-aware adjustments. Continuous monitoring, retraining, and adaptation of AI models are necessary to ensure their relevance in dynamic business environments. Additionally, models should be supplemented with qualitative insights and domain expertise to capture factors that purely quantitative systems may overlook.

*4.6 High Initial Cost of AI Implementation*

One of the major obstacles to AI adoption in financial reporting is the high initial cost. A 2021 Deloitte report highlighted that Fortune 500 companies invested between one and five million U.S. dollars to deploy AI systems for financial applications. These costs were not limited to technological infrastructure alone; they also encompassed employee training and organizational restructuring. For many organizations, this substantial upfront investment can be a significant barrier to entry, particularly for smaller companies that may not have the financial resources to make such large expenditures.

*4.7 Lack of Specialized Expertise*

In addition to the financial investment, a lack of specialized expertise poses a significant challenge to AI implementation. For example, PwC encountered internal difficulties when rolling out AI-based audit tools, as many financial professionals struggled to interpret the AI-generated results. This gap in understanding slowed down the deployment of the technology despite its potential benefits. To address this issue, cross-training finance personnel in data analytics and fostering collaboration between data scientists and accountants is essential. By bridging this gap, organizations can ensure that AI tools are effectively integrated into financial reporting workflows.

*4.8 Data Quality and Integration Challenges*

Data quality and integration remain persistent barriers to effective AI adoption. Allianz SE, a major insurance company, experienced reliability issues in its AI-generated reports due to fragmented data across legacy systems. This situation forced the company to undertake a comprehensive data standardization initiative to improve the quality of its AI outputs. Effective AI systems require clean, consistent, and centralized data repositories. Without these foundational elements, even the most sophisticated AI models can produce flawed insights, hindering their usefulness in financial reporting. Thus, ensuring high-quality data is crucial for the success of AI-driven systems.

## 5. Strategic Considerations and Future Research Directions

As AI continues to reshape the financial reporting landscape, firms must move beyond tactical implementation to consider broader strategic implications. This section discusses how organizations can bridge existing gaps, foster AI adoption responsibly, and identifies avenues for future academic and industry research.

*5.1 Bridging Technical and Organizational Gaps*

One of the foremost strategic needs is the integration of explainable AI (XAI) frameworks. Financial reports are subject to regulatory scrutiny and investor reliance, necessitating outputs that are not just accurate, but also interpretable. To address this, organizations should incorporate explainability modules into their AI pipelines, ensuring that stakeholders—from auditors to board members—can understand how decisions are made.

In tandem, system interoperability is critical. Many firms still operate on legacy ERP systems and siloed databases. Integrating AI solutions through robotic process automation (RPA), data lakes, and application programming interfaces (APIs) can ease transition pains and enable more seamless data flows. This will allow AI models to access timely, complete, and clean data—factors that are essential for reliable financial insights.

Organizationally, cross-functional teams that combine data scientists, finance professionals, compliance experts, and IT personnel must be institutionalized. These teams can oversee AI development lifecycles, conduct risk assessments, and ensure that implementation aligns with both strategic objectives and ethical considerations.

*5.2 Policy and Regulatory Recommendations*

Despite increasing AI adoption, financial reporting standards have yet to fully incorporate guidance on AI-specific risks and controls. Standard-setting bodies such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB), and national regulators like the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) should issue formal guidance addressing the design, use, and auditability of AI tools in financial contexts.

Policymakers should also encourage the development of AI governance frameworks tailored to financial reporting. These could include model validation protocols, transparency requirements, and guidelines for algorithmic accountability. Public-private collaborations and regulatory sandboxes could serve as platforms to test such frameworks in controlled settings.

To ensure the successful and responsible integration of AI into financial reporting, collaboration between industry stakeholders and regulatory bodies is also crucial. The rapid pace of technological innovation in AI often outpaces the ability of regulators to create comprehensive and adaptive rules. Financial institutions and AI technology providers, with their deep knowledge of real-world applications, can play a key role in shaping policies that are grounded in operational realities. By working closely with regulators, these stakeholders can co-develop best practices that are practical, enforceable, and forward-thinking. This ongoing dialogue is essential to create regulations that keep pace with technological advancements while also addressing challenges such as algorithmic bias, transparency, and data privacy.

### *5.3 Future Research Opportunities*

There remains significant scope for academic and applied research in this domain. One pressing area involves the development of domain-specific XAI methods suited for financial statements, ratios, and forecasts. While generic machine learning interpretability tools exist, they often fall short in communicating outputs in finance-friendly language that aligns with established reporting conventions.

Another promising direction involves longitudinal studies that track AI performance across economic cycles. Such research can determine whether AI-driven reporting maintains its predictive accuracy and reliability during downturns, crises, or black swan events—scenarios where human judgment often reasserts importance.

Finally, comparative international studies can shed light on how AI adoption in financial reporting varies across jurisdictions with different regulatory regimes, data infrastructure maturity, and market transparency expectations. These insights would not only help global firms navigate AI governance but also aid regulators in harmonizing emerging practices.

### *5.4 Preparing for a Hybrid Future*

AI is unlikely to completely replace traditional financial analysis and judgment in the near term. Instead, the future lies in hybrid models that augment human expertise with machine intelligence. For this synergy to succeed, finance professionals must evolve from rule-based processors to strategic interpreters of AI-generated insights.

Educational institutions and professional bodies such as the AICPA and CPA Canada should revamp accounting curricula and certification programs to reflect this new reality. Topics such as data ethics, algorithmic literacy, and digital transformation should become core components of financial education.

By embracing these strategic shifts, firms and regulators can not only mitigate the current risks of AI in financial reporting but also unlock its transformative potential—enhancing transparency, efficiency, and decision quality across the financial ecosystem.

## 6. Conclusions

AI is undoubtedly reshaping the landscape of financial reporting, offering transformative possibilities that can drive unprecedented gains in efficiency, accuracy, and overall stakeholder value. As AI technologies evolve, their ability to process vast amounts of financial data in real-time, predict trends, and identify anomalies promises to revolutionize not only how companies report their financial health but also how investors and regulators interact with financial data.

However, while AI’s potential is vast, its integration into financial reporting comes with a host of challenges—both ethical and operational. From concerns over algorithmic bias and data privacy to the complexity of regulatory compliance and the high cost of implementation, there are significant hurdles that need to be overcome for AI to be successfully and sustainably integrated into the financial reporting ecosystem. The development of new ethical frameworks, alignment with global accounting standards, and the establishment of effective oversight mechanisms will be crucial in mitigating these risks.

Achieving a balance between leveraging AI’s transformative potential and addressing its inherent risks will be critical for the future of financial reporting. As AI becomes a fundamental part of financial operations, it is essential that stakeholders, including financial institutions, technology providers, regulators, and the public, work collaboratively to develop and enforce best practices. Ethical standards must evolve to safeguard against data misuse and algorithmic biases, while ensuring that AI systems can be held accountable through clear transparency and traceability.

Regulators will play an increasingly important role in establishing frameworks that allow innovation while maintaining the trust of investors, consumers, and the broader public. The ability to monitor AI-driven financial systems, test their outcomes, and provide an audit trail for decision-making will be key to ensuring accountability.

Additionally, companies will need to focus on developing systems that not only meet regulatory standards but are also adaptive to the continuous evolution of AI technologies.

In conclusion, AI's integration into financial reporting represents a fundamental shift in how financial data is handled, analyzed, and presented. The opportunities it presents are vast, offering enhanced operational efficiency, improved decision-making, and more accurate, real-time financial insights. However, the risks involved—particularly ethical concerns, regulatory challenges, and operational complexity—must be addressed thoughtfully and proactively. A balanced approach is essential: one that harnesses AI's transformative power while carefully managing its potential downsides.

To achieve this balance, continuous collaboration among stakeholders is crucial. Financial institutions, technology providers, regulators, and industry professionals must work together to develop and implement policies, frameworks, and best practices that support the responsible use of AI. With a shared commitment to ethical standards and regulatory compliance, the financial reporting industry can unlock the full potential of AI, creating a more efficient, transparent, and resilient financial ecosystem for the future.

Looking forward, the future of financial reporting is poised to be shaped by AI's growing influence, with AI-powered systems paving the way for more agile, intelligent, and insightful financial reporting practices that will benefit businesses and stakeholders alike. Through continued innovation, adaptation, and collaboration, AI has the potential to not only transform financial reporting but also redefine the broader financial landscape.

## References

Alles, M. G. (2015). Continuous auditing and monitoring. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 12*(1), 1-15. https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-10764

Appelbaum, D., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Big data and analytics in the modern audit engagement: Research needs. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 36*(4), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51684

Bârsan-Pipu, N. (2020). AI systems in corporate financial management. *Management Studies and Economic Systems, 5*(4), 389-397.

Bonsall, S. B., Green, J., & Muller, K. A. (2021). Machine learning methods for textual analysis in accounting and finance. *Journal of Accounting Literature, 46*, 100-123.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton & Company.

Burrell, J. (2016). How the machine 'thinks': Understanding opacity in machine learning algorithms. *Big Data & Society, 3*(1), 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1177/2053951715622512

Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). Artificial intelligence for the real world. *Harvard Business Review, 96*(1), 108-116.

Davenport, T. H., & Kirby, J. (2016). *Only humans need apply: Winners and losers in the age of smart machines*. Harper Business.

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality: How high-tech tools profile, police, and punish the poor*. St. Martin's Press.

EY Global. (2022). AI in financial reporting and taxation. *EY Global Report*.

Hardy, C., & Williams, B. (2019). AI bias in financial decision-making: Implications for corporate governance. *Corporate Governance: An International Review, 27*(4), 330-340.

Kokina, J., & Davenport, T. H. (2017). The emergence of artificial intelligence: How automation is changing auditing. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 14*(1), 115-122. https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-51730

Kokina, J., Mancha, R., & Pachamanova, D. (2017). Blockchain: Emergent industry adoption and implications for accounting. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 14*(2), 91-100. https://doi.org/10.2308/jeta-51911

KPMG. (2021). Evolving trends in financial reporting: How AI is driving change. *KPMG Report*.

Martin, K. (2019). Ethical implications and accountability of algorithms. *Journal of Business Ethics, 160*(4), 835-850. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3921-3

Moffitt, K. C., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2013). AIS research and practice: Accounting information systems and artificial intelligence. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 10*(2), 59-69.

O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown.

Schizas, E., Moyano, J. P., & Gash, R. (2021). AI and the changing landscape of financial reporting risks. *Accounting Today*.

Susskind, R., & Susskind, D. (2015). *The future of the professions: How technology will transform the work of human experts*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198713395.001.0001

Vasarhelyi, M. A., Alles, M., & Williams, K. (2015). Continuous auditing: Theory and application. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting, 12*(2), 1-20.

Vasarhelyi, M. A., & Halper, C. (2020). AI and the future of auditing. *CPA Journal, 90*(3), 6-10.

Wang, J., & Li, Y. (2020). Natural language processing in accounting: A review. *Journal of Accounting Literature, 45*, 1-25.

Warren, J. D., Moffitt, K. C., & Byrnes, P. (2015). How big data will change accounting. *Accounting Horizons*, *29*(2), 397-407. https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51069